Interview Summary

Applicant(s)

10/614,255 EUBANKS, MARY WILKES

Examiner Art Unit

1638

	KEITH O. ROBINSON	1638	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>KEITH O. ROBINSON</u> .	(3)		
(2) MARY EUBANKS.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 30 October 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: None.			
Identification of prior art discussed: None.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Office Action.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS MOTHING THIRTY DAYS MOTHING THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Keith O. Robinson/			
LS Datest and Trademark Office			